

Central Plains Mennonite Conference New Ministries Grant Evaluation Rubric

	3 Excellent Proposal Should be funded fully	2 Good Proposal Should be funded in accordance with available resources	1 Proposal is incomplete or inappropriate for TC funding	Score
1. Presentation	Complete, professional looking proposal.	Complete, neat and understandable.	Disorganized, messy or missing required copies.	
2. Project Goals	Goals for the project are clearly described, thoroughly documented; proposal identifies clear alignment with one or more of the conference priorities	Project's purpose is stated and some evidence of need is provided. The proposal fulfills one of the conference priorities.	Project's purpose is unclear or does not address any of the stated conference priorities.	
3. Project Description	Innovative project with plans, procedures and activities that are well defined, fully explained, and meet criteria for selection.	Activities and procedures are defined.	Description is vague or missing. The project is more appropriately underwritten by another organization.	
4. Project Work Plan	Proposal includes a thorough plan to implement the proposed project and includes key dates and actions.	Proposal includes implementation plan but is incomplete.	Proposal does not include a plan.	
5. Project Evaluation Plan	Proposal includes a well defined evaluation plan including how objectives will be evaluated	Proposal shows some components of a plan.	Proposal does not include an evaluation plan.	
6. Budget	Budget is complete and contains all required information. Budget is cost effective and linked to activities and outcomes.	Budget is complete but is not cost efficient and/or related to activities.	Budget is missing, lacks required information, or includes expenditures for <i>regular</i> classroom supplies or stipends.	